

File stamped Copy
Appeals Packet Mailed
Receipt # AL3000446
Fee Paid

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF NORTHERN NEW YORK

William M. Greene)
Karen M. Greene) COMPLAINT
) PURSUANT TO
Plaintiffs,) 18 U.S.C. §§ 241,
) 1001, 1031, 1341,
) 1344(2), 1346,
) 1581, 1621, 1622,
Internal Revenue Service) 2113 & 3231,
) 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331
Defendant) & 1343,
) 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983
) & 1994

CASE NO. 08-cv-0280
(LEK/DRH)

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED

JUL 25 2008

LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK
ALBANY

NOTICE OF INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that qui tam (i.e., Latin for "he who sues in this matter for the king as for himself") Plaintiffs William M. Greene and Karen M. Greene, who were also plaintiffs in a historic suit based upon one's First Amendment Right to Redress of Grievances to withhold money as the remedy for the act(s) of fraud involving only silence in the face of the People who act to peaceably procure relief as defined long ago in the Act passed unanimously by the Continental Congress and expounded upon by Thomas Jefferson as the People's Right of "...intercession for redress of grievances and reestablishment of rights..." in which the decision upon appeal was to "not resolve this debate" *We the People Found. v. United States*, 485 F.3d 140 (D.C. Cir. 2007), with rehearing en banc denied (Aug. 3, 2007), certiorari denied (January 7, 2008), and certiorari denied without comment on February 25, 2008 as well, have come before this Court in the spirit and intent that there is nothing so wrong with our government that what is right with our government can't correct and have further submitted that the

United States of America was created as a Judeo-Christian Nation¹ and supported the same by presenting the historical context of the formation of our Nation as a "Christian nation" (Docket Nos. 1 and 6 ¶¶ 91-140^{2,3&4}) as well as to have presented other historical reference (Docket Nos. 1 and 6 ¶¶ 141-144) of the Talmud which supports their belief the council or Sanhedrin in fact tried the good Rabbi Yeshu Ben Yosef (Jesus) for commanding His followers to not pay taxes when those taxes go to pay for things which are not in compliance with the Laws of God,⁵ and have submitted many Exhibits, including one example of the documents submitted to the United States Senate Finance Committee Meeting which was later suppressed (Exhibit 3), following which it was publically announced that WTP Petitions were being responded to with enforcement actions (Exhibit 4), following which retaliatory enforcement acts essentially meant to overthrow the Constitution have even been perpetrated upon this Court in which falsified

¹ In keeping with their belief systems with respect to the teachings of the monastic order of Pharisees (i.e., Nazarean) known as the Essenes, recognition is given to the fact that the good Rabbi Yeshu Ben Yosef (Jesus) was after all a Jewish Rabbi.

² Also See Holy Trinity Church v. U.S. 143 U.S. 457, 12 S.Ct. 511, 36 L.Ed. 226 Feb. 29, 1892.

³ "Is not all America now appealing to Heaven against the injustice of being taxed... We are persuaded that an entire freedom from being taxed by civil rulers... is not mere favor from any men in the world but a right and property granted us by God, who commands us to stand fast in it." Amendment I (Religion): Document 21, Isaac Backus, A History of New England 1774—75, (http://press-pubs.uchicago.edu:80/founders/documents/amendI_religions21.html). (Bolded Emphasis Added)

⁴ Also See, Paul J. Cella III's "Technology and the Spirit of Ownership," The New Atlantis, Number 9, Summer 2005, pp. 55-64, which can also be viewed on line at (www.thenewatlantis.com/archive/9/cella.htm).

⁵ "...under the Constitution, the people get their sanction from God whereas persons under the law are contracted with the state for privileges of existence relative to the system of the Number of Man (i.e., 666). And, as I'm sure your aware, Yeshu's mission involved the process of overcoming that ancient system of novation which is set forth in the Book of Genesis (41:1-4; 41:17-21) as Pharaoh's dream of the beasts of the field which ate up everything and left the people without their money (Genesis 47:13-15), without the rights to their lands, and in a state of slavery (Genesis 47:18-22). Under that ancient system of novation (the Biblical Beast) even the church which has contracted with the state for privileges of existence is in fact a creature of the state (Genesis 47:23-27). All of which means, we are looking at a very impressive system whereby each and every member of that system would by an abstract "social" necessity view any-and-all adversaries to such a system of slavery as indeed very dangerous and monstrous, such that the danger and monstrosity would not be "only in the eyes of those who would want the model of 'powers-that-be' perpetrated *ad infinitum*."

damages/evidence admitted to not once⁶ but twice (Exhibit 70⁷) by US Attorneys was used to involve others from the US Department of Justice to deprive us of our natural Rights, and although peonage is unconstitutional in the context of Article 1 § 2(3) and an Article 1 § 8(1) pursuant to Article 1 § 9(4) and criminal in the context of 18 USC § 1581 and 42 USC § 1994 Plaintiffs not once (Docket No. 3) but twice (Docket No. 5) have been denied Injunctive Relief to allow them to return to work so that they can begin to pay their bills again and feed themselves and their son as the case proceeds,⁸ and having submitted that the United States cannot be both plaintiff and defendant at the same time,

⁶ The Government Contractor's transparent motive was to use We The People's Speech related to the Blue Folder as a front, or pretext for its real objective -- to fully silence We The People and thereby shut down the Right to Petition program, and the Government Contractor (IRS) clearly knew or had reason to know that when they estimated cost to the U.S. Treasury, listing damages attributable to filing substitutes for the 2991 unfiled returns equaling \$4,806,537 to the distribution of the "Tax Termination Package" as part of "Operation Stop Withholding," for in order for the IRS to be able to associate and list said damages as being attributable to the said "Tax Termination Package" as part of "Operation Stop Withholding" they clearly would have had to have some idea of the names to which the Blue Folder had been distributed, and in this context evidence of the falsification of damages was provided to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals on Sept 18, 2007 through the statement of the Government assigned Counsel in which she revealed that "it's not clear to me how either the Defendants or the Government could know whether those individuals used the materials ... the IRS obviously can't investigate whether those individuals have been failing to pay tax returns until it has the list of individuals..." and this statement alone is evidence of the fact that the IRS's claims to the effect that its efforts to develop alternate tax returns were as a result of We The People's "Blue Folder" and the Government Contractor's claim of harm caused by the distribution of the "Blue Folder" is not only totally groundless but because it was used to falsify damages to qualify in terms of an Article III complaint it constitutes yet another serious crime.

⁷ In addition, although Arthur Catterall of the Justice Department refused to admit error in the District Court's opinion within his Oral Argument Before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (United States v. Robert L. Schulz, et al., Docket 07-3729-cv) on February 4, 2008, he also provided much the same evidence of the falsification of damages by stating "Oh, because...I think...and again...I don't know exactly what's in the record. My understanding was the need to get the names of the people who received these material is, in part, to be able to contact them to find people who have been taken in by this plan and, uh, alert them, look you need to file your returns, pay your taxes, withhold from your employees or there is going to be..."

⁸ In Footnote No. 1 (Docket No. 24), qui tam Plaintiffs stated that "Should the Court deny the Plaintiffs' Amended Motion For Preliminary Injunctive Relief, dated May 30, 2008, Plaintiffs would request this Court to certify those claims for interlocutory review as well" with the Motion Hearing date having being set by the Court for July 18th 2008 at 09:30 AM. However, qui tam Plaintiffs were notified on July 23rd by the truck leasing company that the bank which holds the lease-purchase will not accept any more delays/excuses and is demanding the immediate repossession of the truck Plaintiffs worked and lived out of which makes the subject of their motion for Injunctive Relief moot; for without the truck to pull the company's trailer used in our job we can no longer satisfy equipment requirements for our job.

do hereby submit this Notice of Interlocutory Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from the Orders of this Court, filed July 11, 2008 and July 17, 2008, and each and every part thereof.

DATED: July 24, 2008
Albany, New York



William M. Greene, pro se
PO Box 279
Voorheesville, NY 12186
Phone: (518) 209-2495




Karen M. Greene, pro se
PO Box 279
Voorheesville, NY 12186
Phone: (518) 209-2495

State of New York

County of Albany

On this the 24th day of July, 2008, before me, Donald C. Johnson
personally appeared William M. Greene and Karen M. Greene, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the written instrument and acknowledged that they executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

In witness whereof hereunto set my hand.



DONALD C. JOHNSON
Notary Public in the State of New York
Qualified in Rensslear County No. 01JO4619242
My Commission Expires June 30, 2011